

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/623,499 07/22/2003 Tatsuaki Funamoto 108642.01 6354 25944 7590 02/25/2004 EXAMINER OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2875					
25944 7590 02/25/2004 EXAMINER OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320 PAYNE, SHARON E ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	10/623,499	07/22/2003	Tatsuaki Funamoto	108642.01	6354
P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	25944 7	590 02/25/2004		EXAMINER	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		•		PAYNE, SHARON E	
2875		-		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2875	

DATE MAILED: 02/25/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	TA	T A 12 4/->			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summany	10/623,499	FUNAMOTO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Sharon E. Payne	2875	AW.		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addi	'ess ,		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron te, cause the application to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this com ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.		
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 6-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 6-9 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 69/79/, 638 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 23 July 2003.	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:		152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/623,499 Page 2

Art Unit: 2875

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 6 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over of Lindblad (U.S. Patent 5,404,277) in view of Shirasaki et al. (U.S. Patent 6,025,894).

Regarding claim 6, Lindblad discloses a light guide element (reference number 10) disposed adjacent to an edge of the light source (Fig. 2), being thicker than the light source (Fig. 2) and having a reflector member (reference number 22) for guiding light that is emitted from the organic electroluminescent device through the first principal plane of the light source toward an inside of the light guide element (Fig. 2) and the

Application/Control Number: 10/623,499

Art Unit: 2875

reflector member overhangs a part of the light source (Fig. 2). Lindblad does not disclose the organic electroluminescent element or the substrate.

Shirasaki et al. discloses an organic electroluminescent device (abstract) and a substrate (reference number 112) on which the organic electroluminescent device (reference number 114) is formed (Fig. 32).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the light source and substrate of Shirasaki in place of the light source of Lindblad to provide light with the travel path altered by the substrate.

Concerning claim 8, Lindblad does not disclose a display unit disposed over the substrate. Shirasaki et al. discloses a display unit disposed above the substrate and illuminated by light emitted from the first principal plane (Fig. 32, abstract).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the display unit of Shirasaki over the apparatus of Lindblad as shown by reference number 25 of Lindblad to communicate with a user.

4. Claims 7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lindblad in view of Shirasaki et al. as applied to claim 6 above, and further in view of Parker et al. (U.S. Patent 5,975,711).

Regarding claim 7, Lindblad does not disclose a pixel region. Parker discloses a pixel region (reference number 5) to display and an illuminator region (reference number 6) to illuminate the light guide element (Fig. 2).

Application/Control Number: 10/623,499 Page 4

Art Unit: 2875

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the pixel region of Parker in the apparatus of Lindblad to communicate with a user.

Regarding claim 9, Lindblad does not disclose a key input unit. Parker et al. discloses a key input unit (Fig. 1).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the key input unit of Parker et al. in the apparatus of Lindblad to allow a user to transmit commands to the apparatus.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sharon E. Payne whose telephone number is (571) 272-2379. The examiner can normally be reached on regular business hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on (571) 272-2378. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/623,499

Art Unit: 2875

6. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

sep

Stephen Husar Primary Examiner Page 5